

A Class of p-valent functions defined by differential operator

Dr. Salma Faraj Ramadan

Assistant Professor, Mathematics Department, Science, Sabratha University Sabratha, Libya

Email naji.salma@sabu.edu.ly

Abstract:

In the present paper, we introduce the class $A(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta, n, p, A, B)$ of p-valent analytic functions in the open unit disk U . We investigate some inclusion properties, coefficient bounds, distortion theorem, ε -neighborhoods and partial sums. Also we obtain integral representation, weighted and arithmetic mean.

Keywords: Differential subordination, p-valent functions, differential operator.

1. Introduction:

Let $H(u)$ the class of analytic functions in the open unit disk $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and let

$H(a, p)$ the class of function $f \in h$ of the form

$$f(z) = a + a_p z^p + a_{p+1} z^{p+1} + \dots, \quad (a \in \mathbb{C}; p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}) \quad (1.1)$$

Also, let $\mathbf{A}(p)$ be the subclass of h consisting of functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad (m \geq p, p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}). \quad (1.2)$$

Definition 1.1: Let $f, g \in h$, if there exist a Schwarz function w analytic in U with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1, z \in U$ such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$, then the function f is called subordinate to g , or g is called superordinate to f , in such a case we write $f \prec g$ or $f(z) \prec g(z), z \in U$. If g is univalent in U , then $f \prec g$ if and only if $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(U) \subset g(U)$.

In [9], we introduced and studied the differential operator $D^n(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta)f(z)$,

for a functions $f(z)$ in the class A as the following:

$$D^0 f(z) = f(z),$$

$$D^1(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta)f(z) = [1 - (\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha)]f(z) + (\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha)zf'(z)$$

$$= z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha)(n - 1) + 1] a_k z^k$$

⋮

$$D^n(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta)f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha)(n - 1) + 1]^n a_k z^k, \tag{1.4}$$

for $\alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0, \lambda \geq 0, \delta \geq 0, \lambda > \delta, \beta > \alpha, n \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

Now, we define the operator $D^n(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta)f(z)$ in (1.4) of a function $f(z) \in \mathbf{A}(p)$ given by (1.1) as

$$D_p^n(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta)f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha)k + p}{p} \right]^n a_k z^k \tag{1.5}$$

for $\alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0, \lambda \geq 0, \delta \geq 0, \lambda > \delta, \beta > \alpha, n \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}, m \geq p, p \in \mathbb{R}$.

We note that:

When $\alpha = \delta = 0, \beta = \lambda = p = 1$ it reduces to Sălăgan differential operator [10]. It reduces to Darus & Ibrahim, when $\alpha = 0, p = 1$ [4]. It reduces to Al-oboudi differential operator [1]. when, $\alpha = \delta = 0, \beta = p = 1$.

Definition 1.2: Let A and B ($-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$) be fixed parameters, we say that a function $f(z) \in \mathbf{A}(p)$ in the class $f(z) \in \mathbf{A}(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta, n, p, A, B)$, if it satisfies the following subordination condition

$$\left\{ \frac{p z^p + z \left(D_p^n(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta) f(z) \right)'}{2z^p} \right\} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, \quad (z \in U \setminus \{0\}). \quad (1.3)$$

By the definition of differential subordination (1.3), is equivalent to the following condition

$$\left| \frac{p z^p + z \left(D_p^n(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta) f(z) \right)'}{(B - 2A) p z^p + Bz \left(D_p^n(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta) f(z) \right)'} \right| < 1$$

2. Coefficient bounds

Theorem 2.1: Let the function $f(z)$ of the form (1.1), be in $f(z) \in \mathbf{A}(p)$. Then the function $f(z)$ belongs to the class $f(z) \in \mathbf{A}(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta, n, p, A, B)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} k (1-B) ((\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha)k + p)^n a_k \leq 2(B - A - 1)p^2, \quad (2.1)$$

where $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1, \alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0, \lambda \geq 0, \delta \geq 0, \lambda > \delta, \beta > \alpha, n \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}, m \geq p, p \in \mathbb{N}$.

The result is sharp for the function $f(z)$ given by

$$f(z) = z^p + \frac{2(B - A - 1)p^2}{k (1-B) ((\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha)k + p)^n} z^m, \quad (m \geq p). \quad (2.2)$$

Proof: Assume that the condition (2.1) is true. We must show that $f(z) \in A(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta, n, p, A, B)$, or equivalently prove that

$$\left| \frac{p z^p + z (D_p^n(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta)f(z))'}{(B - 2A)p z^p + Bz (D_p^n(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta)f(z))'} \right| < 1.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{p z^p + z (D_p^n(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta)f(z))'}{(B - 2A)p z^p + Bz (D_p^n(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta)f(z))'} \right| = \\ & \left| \frac{p z^p + z \left(p z^{p-1} + \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{((\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha)k + p)^n}{p} k a_{p+k} z^{k-1} \right)}{(B - 2A)p z^p + Bz \left(p z^{p-1} + \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{((\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha)k + p)^n}{p} k a_{p+k} z^{k-1} \right)} \right| \\ & = \left| \frac{2p^2 z^p + \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} ((\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha)k + p)^n k a_k z^k}{2(B - A)p^2 z^p + B \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} ((\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha)k + p)^n k a_k z^k} \right| \\ & \leq \left\{ \frac{2p^2 + \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} ((\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha)k + p)^n k a_k}{2(B - A)p^2 + B \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} ((\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha)k + p)^n k a_k} \right\} < 1. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality by (2.1) is true.

Conversely, suppose that $f(z) \in A(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta, n, p, A, B)$. we must show that the condition (2.1) holds true, we have

$$\left| \frac{p z^p + z \left(D_p^n (\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta) f(z) \right)'}{(B - 2A) p z^p + B z \left(D_p^n (\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta) f(z) \right)'} \right| < 1,$$

hence we get

$$= \left| \frac{2p^2 z^p + \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} ((\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha)k + p)^n k a_k z^k}{2(B - A) p^2 z^p + B \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} ((\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha)k + p)^n k a_k z^k} \right| < 1.$$

Since $\operatorname{Re}(z) < |z|$, so we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{2p^2 z^p + \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} ((\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha)k + p)^n k a_k z^k}{2(B - A) p^2 z^p + B \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} ((\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha)k + p)^n k a_k z^k} \right\} < 1.$$

We choose the values of z on the real axis and letting $z \rightarrow 1^{-1}$, then we obtain

$$\left\{ \frac{2p^2 + \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} ((\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha)k + p)^n k a_k}{2(B - A) p^2 + B \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} ((\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha)k + p)^n k a_k} \right\} < 1,$$

then

$$\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} k (1 - B) ((\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha)k + p)^n a_k < 2(B - A - 1) p^2$$

and the proof is complete.

Corollary 2.2: Let $f(z) \in A(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta, n, p, A, B)$ then

$$a_k \leq \frac{2(B - A - 1)p^2}{k(1 - B)((\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha)k + p)^n} \quad (k \geq m),$$

where $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1, \alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0, \lambda \geq 0, \delta \geq 0, \lambda > \delta, \beta > \alpha$.

Equality holds for the functions of the form

$$f(z) = z^p + \frac{2(B - A - 1)p^2}{k(1 - B)((\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha)k + p)^n} z^k, \quad (k \geq m).$$

3. Distortion theorems

Theorem 3.1: Let the function $f(z)$ of the form (1.1), be in the class $A(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta, n, p, A, B)$, then for $0 < |z| = r < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} r^p - \frac{2(B - A - 1)p}{(1 - B)(p[(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1])^n} r^m &\leq |f(z)| \\ &\leq r^p + \frac{2(B - A - 1)p}{(1 - B)(p[(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1])^n} r^m \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} pr^{p-1} - \frac{2(B - A - 1)p^2}{(1 - B)(p[(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1])^n} r^m &\leq |f(z)'| \leq \\ &pr^{p-1} + \frac{2(B - A - 1)p^2}{(1 - B)(p[(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1])^n} r^m \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

The equality in (3.1) and (3.2) are attained for the function given by

$$f(z) = z^p - \frac{2(B - A - 1)p^2}{(1 - B)((\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + p)^n} z^m, \quad (m \geq p) \quad (3.3)$$

Proof: Since $m \geq p$, and $f(z) \in A(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta, n, p, A, B)$, in view of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$p(1-B)\left(p\left[(\lambda-\delta)(\beta-\alpha)+1\right]\right)^n \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} a_k \leq$$

$$\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} k(1-B)\left((\lambda-\delta)(\beta-\alpha)k+p\right)^n a_k < 2(B-A-1)p^2$$

Which yields

$$\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} a_k \leq \frac{2(B-A-1)p}{(1-B)\left(p\left[(\lambda-\delta)(\beta-\alpha)+1\right]\right)^n}$$

Consequently, for $|z| = r < 1$, we obtain

$$|f(z)| \leq r^p + r^m \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} a_k \leq r^p + \frac{2(B-A-1)p}{(1-B)\left(p\left[(\lambda-\delta)(\beta-\alpha)+1\right]\right)^n} r^m$$

and

$$|f(z)| \geq r^p - r^m \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} a_k \geq r^p - \frac{2(B-A-1)p}{(1-B)\left(p\left[(\lambda-\delta)(\beta-\alpha)+1\right]\right)^n} r^m$$

which prove the assertion (3.1) of Theorem 4.1

Also from Theorem 2.1, it follows that

$$\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} k a_k \leq \frac{2(B-A-1)p^2}{(1-B)\left(p\left[(\lambda-\delta)(\beta-\alpha)+1\right]\right)^n}$$

Consequently, for $|z| = r < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f'(z)| &\leq pr^{p-1} + \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} ka_k r^{k-1} \\
 &\leq pr^{p-1} + r^{m-1} \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} ka_k \\
 &\leq pr^{p-1} + \frac{2(B-A-1)p^2}{(1-B)(p[(\lambda-\delta)(\beta-\alpha)+1])^n} r^{m-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f'(z)| &\geq pr^{p-1} - \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} ka_k r^{k-1} \\
 &\geq pr^{p-1} - r^{m-1} \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} ka_k \\
 &\geq pr^{p-1} - \frac{2(B-A-1)p^2}{(1-B)(p[(\lambda-\delta)(\beta-\alpha)+1])^n} r^{m-1},
 \end{aligned}$$

Which prove the assertion (3.2) of Theorem 4.

Finally, it is easy to see that the bounds in (3.1) and (3.2) are attained for the function $f(z)$ given by (3.3).

Next, we deal neighborhood concepts for functions in the class $A(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta, n, p, A, B)$. This concept has been investigated by several authors; Goodman [6], Rusheweyh [8]. O. Altintas and S. Owa [2], see also [3],[5].

4. Neighborhoods and partial sums

Definition 4.1: Let $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $\beta \geq 0$, $\lambda \geq 0$, $\delta \geq 0$, $\lambda > \delta$, $\beta > \alpha$,

$n \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. We define the ε -neighborhood of a function $f(z) \in$

$A(p)$ and denote $N_\varepsilon(f)$ such that

$$N_\varepsilon(f) = \left\{ g \in A(p) : g(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \text{ and } \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{k(1+B)((\lambda-\delta)(\beta-\alpha)k+p)^n}{2(B-A-1)p^2} |a_k - b_k| \leq \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Theorem 4.2: Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $f(z) \in A(p)$ given by (1.1) satisfies the inclusion property:

$$\frac{f(z) + \mu z^p}{1 + \mu} \in A(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta, n, p, A, B), \tag{4.1}$$

For any complex number μ such that $|\mu| < \varepsilon$, then $N_\varepsilon(f) \subset A(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta, n, p, A, B)$.

Proof: We have $f(z) \in A(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta, n, p, A, B)$ for $\sigma \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\sigma| = 1$, that

$$\left[\frac{z \left(D_p^n(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta) f(z) \right)' + p z^p}{(B - 2A) p z^p + B z \left(D_p^n(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta) f(z) \right)'} \right] \neq \sigma$$

Equivalently, we must have

$$\frac{(f * Q)(z)}{z^p} \neq 0, \quad (z \in U \setminus \{0\}), \tag{4.2}$$

Where $Q(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} e_k z^k$ and

$$e_k \leq \frac{k(1-\sigma B)((\lambda-\delta)(\beta-\alpha)k+p)^n}{2(\sigma(B-A)-1)p^2} \tag{4.3}$$

$$|e_k| \leq \left| \frac{k(1-\sigma B)((\lambda-\delta)(\beta-\alpha)k+p)^n}{2(\sigma(B-A)-1)p^2} \right| \tag{4.4}$$

$$\leq \frac{k(1+B)((\lambda-\delta)(\beta-\alpha)k+p)^n}{2(B-A-1)p^2}$$

If $f(z) \in A(p)$ given by (1.1), satisfies the inclusion property (4.1), then (4.2) yields

$$\left| \frac{(f * Q)(z)}{z^p} \right| \geq \varepsilon, \quad (z \in U \setminus \{0\}). \tag{4.5}$$

Now, if we suppose that

$$g(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \tag{4.6}$$

We easily see that

$$\left| \frac{(g-f)(z) * Q(z)}{z^p} \right| = \left| \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} (b_k - a_k) e_k z^k \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} |b_k - a_k| |e_k| |z|^k$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{k(1+B)((\lambda-\delta)(\beta-\alpha)k+p)^n}{2(B-A-1)p^2} |b_k - a_k| \leq \varepsilon$$

then

$$\left| \frac{(g)(z) * Q(z)}{z^p} \right| = \left| \frac{f + (g-f)(z) * Q(z)}{z^p} \right|$$

$$\geq \left| \frac{(f)(z) * Q(z)}{z^p} \right| - \left| \frac{(g-f)(z) * Q(z)}{z^p} \right| > 0$$

Thus, for any $\sigma \in \square$ with $|\sigma|=1$, we have

$$\frac{(g)(z) * Q(z)}{z^p} \neq 0, \quad (z \in U \setminus \{0\}),$$

Which implies that $g(z) \in A(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta, n, p, A, B)$ and finally $N_\varepsilon(f) \subset$

$$A(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta, n, p, A, B).$$

Theorem 4.3: Let $f(z)$ be defined by (1.1) and the partial sums $S_1(z)$ and $S_q(z)$ be defined by

$$S_1(z) = z^p \text{ and}$$

$$S_q(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=m}^{m+q-2} a_k z^k, \quad (q > m, m \geq p, p \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Also, suppose that $\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} C_k a_k \leq 1$, where

$$C_k = \frac{(p+k)(1-B)((\lambda-\delta)(\beta-\alpha)k+p)^n}{2(B-A-1)p^2}.$$

Then

(i) $f \in A(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta, n, p, A, B).$

(ii) $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{S_q(z)} \right\} > 1 - \frac{1}{C_q}. \tag{4.6}$

(iii) $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{C_q(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \frac{1}{1+C_q}, \quad z \in U, q > m. \tag{4.7}$

The estimates in (4.6) and (4.7) are sharp.

Proof: (i) Since $\frac{z^p + \mu z^p}{1 + \mu} = z^p \in A(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta, n, p, A, B)$, $|\mu| < 1$, then by Theorem 4.2, we

have $N_1(z^p) \subset A(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta, n, p, A, B)$, $p \in \square(N_1(z^p))$ denoting that 1-neighborhood).

Now since $\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} C_k a_k \leq 1$ then $f \in N_1(z^p)$ and $f \in A(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta, n, p, A, B)$.

(ii) Since $\{C_k\}$ is an increasing sequence, we obtain

$$\sum_{k=m}^{m+q-2} a_k + C_q \leq \sum_{k=q+m-1}^{\infty} a_k \leq \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} C_k a_k \leq 1 \tag{4.8}$$

Sitting

$$G_1(z) = C_q \left(\frac{f(z)}{S_q(z)} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{C_q} \right) \right) \frac{C_q \sum_{k=q+m-1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k+p}}{1 + \sum_{k=m}^{q+m-2} a_k z^{k+p}} + 1,$$

from (4.8) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{G_1(z) - 1}{G_1(z) + 1} \right| &= \left| \frac{C_q \sum_{k=q+m-1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k+p}}{2 + 2 \sum_{k=m}^{q+m-2} a_k z^{k+p} + C_q \sum_{k=q+m-1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k+p}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{C_q \sum_{k=q+m-1}^{\infty} a_k}{2 - 2 \sum_{k=m}^{q+m-2} a_k - C_q \sum_{k=q+m-1}^{\infty} a_k} \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Which readily yields the assertion (4.6) of Theorem 4.3, if we take

(iii) Similarly, if we put

$$G_2(z) = (1+C_q) \left(\frac{S_q(z)}{f(z)} - \frac{C_q}{1+C_q} \right)$$

$$= 1 - \frac{(1+C_q) \sum_{k=q+m-1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k+p}}{1 + \sum_{k=m}^{q+m-2} a_k z^{k+p}}$$

And make use of (4.8), we get

$$\left| \frac{G_1(z)-1}{G_1(z)+1} \right| \leq \frac{(1+C_q) \sum_{k=q+m-1}^{\infty} a_k}{2 - 2 \sum_{k=m}^{q+m-2} a_k - (1-C_q) \sum_{k=q+m-1}^{\infty} a_k} \leq 1,$$

Which yields the inequality (4.7) of the Theorem 4.3.

5. integral representation

In the next theorem , we obtain the integral representation for the $D_p^n(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta)f(z)$

Theorem 5.1: Let $f(z) \in A(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta, n, p, A, B)$, then

$$D_p^n(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta)f(z) = \int_0^z t^p \left(\frac{2(1-A\Phi(t))}{1-B\Phi(t)} - p \right) dt ,$$

where $|\Phi(z)| < 1$.

Proof: By putting

$$\frac{p z^p + z (D_p^n(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta)f(z))'}{2z^p} = L(z), \quad (z \in U \setminus \{0\})$$

we have

$$L(z) < \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$$

Also we can write

$$\left| \frac{L(z)-1}{BL(z)-A} \right| < 1$$

Or equivalently $\frac{L(z)-1}{BL(z)-A} = \Phi(z)$, $|\Phi(z)| < 1$. So

$$\frac{p z^p + z \left(D_p^n(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta) f(z) \right)'}{2z^p} = \frac{1-A\Phi(z)}{1-B\Phi(z)}, \quad (z \in U \setminus \{0\})$$

which gives

$$\left(D_p^n(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta) f(z) \right)' = z^p \left(\frac{2(1-A\Phi(z))}{1-B\Phi(z)} - p \right),$$

after integration, we obtain

$$D_p^n(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta) f(z) = \int_0^z t^p \left(\frac{2(1-A\Phi(t))}{1-B\Phi(t)} - p \right) dt,$$

and this gives the result.

6. Weighted mean and arithmetic mean

Definition 6.1: Let f and g be in the class $A(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta, n, p, A, B)$. Then the weighted mean

E_s of f and g is given by

$$E_s(z) = \frac{1}{2} [(1-s)f(z) + (1+s)g(z)], \quad 0 < s < 1.$$

Theorem 6.2: Let f and g be in the class $A(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta, n, p, A, B)$. Then the weighted mean of f and g is also in the class $A(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta, n, p, A, B)$.

Proof: By definition 6.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_s(z) &= \frac{1}{2}[(1-s)f(z) + (1+s)g(z)] \\
 E_s(z) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[(1-s) \left(z^p + \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} a_k z^k \right) + (1+s) \left(z^p + \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} b_k z^k \right) \right] \\
 &= z^p + \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} ((1-s)a_k + (1+s)b_k) z^k.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since f and g be in the class $A(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta, n, p, A, B)$, so by Theorem 2.1, we get

$$\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} k (1-B) ((\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha)k + p)^n a_k \leq 2(B - A - 1)p^2$$

and

$$\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} k (1-B) ((\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha)k + p)^n b_k \leq 2(B - A - 1)p^2.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} k (1-B) ((\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha)k + p)^n \left(\frac{1}{2}(1-s)a_k + \frac{1}{2}(1+s)b_k \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2}(1-s) \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} k (1-B) ((\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha)k + p)^n a_k \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{2}(1+s) \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} k (1-B) ((\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha)k + p)^n b_k \\
 &\leq (1-s)(B - A - 1)p^2 + (1+s)(B - A - 1)p^2 \\
 &= 2(B - A - 1)p^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

This shows $E_s \in A(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta, n, p, A, B)$.

Theorem 6.3: Let $f_1(z), f_2(z), \dots, f_r(z)$ defined by

$$f_i(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} a_{k,i} z^k, \quad (a_{k,i} \geq 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, r, m \geq p), \quad (6.1)$$

Be in the class $A(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta, n, p, A, B)$, then the arithmetic mean of $f_i(z)$, ($i = 1, 2, \dots, r$) defined by

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^r f_i(z) \quad (6.2)$$

is also in the class $A(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta, n, p, A, B)$.

Proof: By using (6.1) and (6.2), we can write

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^r f_i(z) = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^r \left(z^p + \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} a_{k,i} z^k \right) = z^p + \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^r a_{k,i} \right) z^k.$$

Since $f_i(z) \in A(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta, n, p, A, B)$ for every ($i = 1, 2, \dots, r$). Then by using Theorem 2.1 we prove that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} k(1-B) \left((\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha)k + p \right)^n \left(\frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^r a_{k,i} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^r \left(\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} k(1-B) \left((\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha)k + p \right)^n a_{k,i} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{r} \sum_{i=1}^r (B - A - 1) p^2 = 2(B - A - 1) p^2. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.

References

- [1] F. M. Al-Oboudi F. M. 2004. On univalent functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator. *Int. J. Math. Sci.* **25-28**: 1429-1436.
- [2] O. Altintas, S. Owa. Neighbor hoods of certain analytic functions with negative coefficients. *Int. J. Math. Sci.*, 19 (1996), 797-800.
- [3] O. Altintas, O. Ozkan. H. M. Srivastava. Nieghborhoods of a certain family of multivalent functions with negative coefficients. *Comp. Math. Appl.*, 47(2004) 1667-1672.
- [4] M. Darus, R. W. Ibrahim 2009. On subclasses for generalized operators of complex order. *Far Est Journal of Math. Sci.* **33** (3): 299-308.
- [5] H. E. Darwish, A. Y. Lashin, S. M. Soileh. An application of multiplier transformations for certain subclass of meromorphically p-valent functions. *Int. J. Pur. App. Math.*, 2(2013), 415-433.
- [6] A. W. Goodman. Univalen functions and nonanalytic curves. *Proc. Amr. Math. Soc.*, 8(1957), 598-601.
- [7] J-L. Liu, H. M. Srivastava. A linear operator and associated families of meromorphically multivalent functions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 295(200), 566-581.
- [8] S. Rusheweyh, neighborhoods of univalent functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 81(1981), 521-527.
- [9] Salma F. Ramadan, Maslina Darus 2010. On the Fekete-Sezego inequality for a class of analytic functions defined by using generalized differential operator. *Acta Universitatis Apulensis.* 26(2011), 167-178.
- [10] Sălăgean G. S. Subclasses of univalent functions in Complex Analysis. *Fifth Romanian-Finnish Seminar Part I (Bucharest, 1981), Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1013.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York (1983).

Doi: doi.org/10.52133/ijrsp.v2.18.3