Volume 4 – Issue 47
Issued on: 20 September 2023
International Journal of Research and Studies Publishing
المجلة الدولية لنشر البحوث والدراسات
ISSN: 2709-7064
IJRSP

The impact of the Fraud Examiners on Fraud Risk Management in Lehman Brothers Inc. In the financial crisis in the United States

Prepared by: Ahmed Farouk Ali Mohammed

Master of Risk Management, Faculty of Management, Midocean University, Saudi Arabia

Email: ahmedfarouk21411@icloud.com

Abstract:

In this research we try to provide a practical recommendation to help the business leaders prevent and detect the fraud in various business environment, these strategies may help the companies to make difference when it comes to fraud risk management through highlighting the importance of fraud examiners in the fraud risk management. Moreover, fraud examiners can help corporates monitor and control their fraud exposures using five main principles, Risk governance, Risk assessment, Control Activities, Investigation and Corrective Action, Risk Monitoring. This research focuses on the pivotal role of fraud examiners in preventing and detecting fraud particularly in the United States. Through an examination of historical financial scandals such as Enron, Lehman Brother Inc, …etc., corporate governance, risk management theories. The study aims to elucidate the multifaceted contributions of fraud examiners. Adopting a mixed-methods approach involving qualitative interviews and quantitative surveys, the research seeks to uncover the impact of the fraud examiners on identifying, preventing, and addressing fraudulent activities which hold implications for the corporate governance, financial stability, and investor confidence. Association of Certified Fraud Examiners. (2022) report to the Nations on Occupational Fraud and Abuse, found that fraud examiners who are independent of the entity being audited are more likely to be objective and impartial in their investigations. They are also less likely to be influenced by management or other employees who may be involved in the fraud

Keywords: Fraud, Fraud Examiners, Risk assessment, Corporate Governance.

تأثير فاحصي الاحتيال على إدارة مخاطر الاحتيال لدى بنك ليمان برازرذ ودور ذلك في الازمة المالية بالولايات المتحدة الأمريكية

إعداد الباحث/ أحمد فاروق علي محمد

ماجستير إدارة المخاطر، كلية الإدارة، جامعة ميدأوشن، المملكة العربية السعودية

إشراف / دكتور وليد صديق

ملخص الدراسة:

تواجه المنظمات حالياً تحدياً كبيراً في إدارة مخاطر الاحتيال. حيث تخلق الضغوط المالية والنمو السريع بالإضافة إلى الافتقار إلى الموارد والوعي، مثل وجود خبراء فحص الاحتيال، فرصًا للمحتالين للاستفادة من موارد المنظمة. في هذا البحث، نحاول تقديم توصية عملية لمساعدة قادة الأعمال على منع وكشف الاحتيال في مختلف بيئات الأعمال. قد تساعد هذه الاستراتيجيات الشركات على إحداث فرق فيما يتعلق بإدارة مخاطر الاحتيال من خلال تسليط الضوء على أهمية خبراء فحص الاحتيال في إدارة مخاطر الاحتيال. علاوة على ذلك، يمكن لفاحصي الاحتيال مساعدة الشركات على مراقبة ومراقبة تعرضها للاحتيال باستخدام خمسة مبادئ رئيسية: الحوكمة المتعلقة بالمخاطر، وتقييم المخاطر، وأنشطة الرقابة، والتحقيق وتصحيح الإجراءات، ومراقبة المخاطر. يركز هذا البحث على الدور المحوري لمراجعي الاحتيال في منع وكشف الاحتيال، لا سيما في الولايات المتحدة. من خلال فحص الفضائح المالية التاريخية ، تحديداً إنهيار بنك ليمان برازرذ والتي تسبب في حدوث الازمة المالية لدى الولايات المتحدة و ما انعكس ذلك على الاقتصاد العالمي في العام 2008 من خلال اعتماد نهج متعدد الأساليب يتضمن المقابلات النوعية والدراسات الاستقصائية الكمية، يسعى البحث إلى كشف تأثير مراجعي الاحتيال على تحديد ومنع ومعالجة الأنشطة الاحتيالية التي لها آثار على الحوكمة المؤسسية والاستقرار المالي وثقة المستثمرين وجد تقرير جمعية مراجعي الاحتيال المعتمدين بشأن الاحتيال والاساءة المهنية لعام 2022 أن مراجعي الاحتيال المستقلون عن الكيان الذي يتم تدقيقه يكونوا أكثر موضوعيه و غير متحيزين في تحقيقاتهم ، و كذلك أقل عرضة للتأثير من الادارة و الموظفين الذين قد يكونوا متورطين في الاحتيال.

الكلمات المفتاحية: الاحتيال، فاحصي الاحتيال، تقييم المخاطر، حوكمة الشركات.

1. Introduction

In the complex landscape of modern corporate environments, the specter of fraud looms as a persistent threat, capable of destabilizing financial systems eroding investor trust, and leaving indelible marks on the global economy. Fraudulent activities, particularly within the United States, have historically led to catastrophic consequences that ripple far beyond the immediate organizations involved. As such, the role of fraud examiners in the realm of fraud risk management has gained paramount significance. Effective fraud risk management is not merely a matter of operational integrity, it is a linchpin that sustains the credibility of financial markets and safeguards the interests of stakeholders. The term "fraud examiner" refers to a specialist equipped with a unique skill set aimed at detecting, investigating, and preventing fraudulent activities. Their work extends beyond reactive measures and involves proactive vigilance to mitigate risks and forestall potential crises. In a study by **Albrecht et al. (2018)**, the authors highlight the critical role of the fraud examiners paly in identifying irregularities, examining suspicious transactions, and ensuring compliance with established norms. The repercussions of fraud are not confined to individual entities; they cascade through interconnected networks, ultimately affecting the global economy. The **Lehman Brothers Inc**. scandal of **2008** stands as an epitome of corporate malfeasance and its far-reaching consequences. **Lehman Brothers** engaged in accounting fraud, which hid the true extent of its financial problems. This fraud allowed **Lehman Brothers** to appear more solvent than it actually was and to attract investors. White-collar crimes like those witnessed in the Lehman Brothers case not only led to financial losses but also corrode public trust and shake the foundations of economic systems. Despite the ensuing ripples of the Enron debacle were profound, leading to tightened regulations, shifts in corporate governance, and a renewed emphasis on transparency, the Lehman Brothers Inc. which leads to financial crisis of 2008 underscored the susceptibility of financial systems to unethical behavior, arguing that the financial crisis of 2008 was a result of weak financial regulation and supervision. They illuminate the intricate web of factors that contributed to the crisis, including reckless lending practices inadequate risk assessments, and lack of effective regulatory oversight. This crisis, often traced back to the implosion of mortgage-backed securities, showcased the interconnectedness of markets and the seismic impact that corporate mismanagement can have on the global economy. Within this intricate web of corporate misconduct, fraud examiners emerge as sentinels of financial probity.

As **William S. Wells (2018)** contends, these professionals stand as a first line of defense consistently monitoring for aberrations that could hint at fraudulent activities. Their vigilance, as substantiated by tangible evidence and real-world experiences, equips organizations with a proactive approach to risk management, preempting potential crises. The objectives of this research are twofold Firstly, this study seeks to delve into the multifaceted role of fraud examiners within corporate entities, analyzing their contribution to fraud risk management. Secondly, the research aims to ascertain the broader implications of effective fraud risk management, facilitated by the involvement of fraud examiners, on corporate governance, financial stability, and investor confidence. In the late 2000s, many giant U.S. corporations were on the verge of collapse as a result of years of risky investing, Overall Fraud deals with events that involve criminal motives and are often difficult to identify. As we journey through this exploration, the research questions guiding our inquiry are critical How do fraud examiners impact the identification and prevention of fraudulent activities within corporate entities? In what ways does their involvement reverberate through corporate governance practices? How does the role of fraud examiners impact investor confidence and decision-making within the context of fraud risk management in corporate settings? And finally, how does their role contribute to the overall stability of financial systems and investor assurance? The pursuit of these questions leads us to a comprehensive understanding of the pivotal role that fraud examiners play in curbing fraudulent behaviors and mitigating risk. By probing these intricacies and drawing insights from both past crises and contemporary contexts, this research intends to provide a nuanced perspective that enhances the effectiveness of fraud risk management strategies. In doing so, it might contribute not only to the integrity of individual organizations but also to the broader fabric of the global economy, promoting stability and bolstering investor trust in the corporate landscape.

1.1. Study problem

Within the realm of corporate governance, fraud risk management has emerged as a pivotal concern. The challenges posed by fraudulent activities within corporate entities, particularly those operating within the United States, have prompted increased scrutiny and the implementation of stringent measures. Despite these efforts, a persisting study problem lies in the identification and management of fraud risks that threaten the integrity of financial systems and investor confidence.

The issue at hand is underscored by the historical financial scandals that have left deep scars on the global economy, The Enron scandal of 2001 and Lehman Brothers Inc. of 2008 serve as stark reminders of the susceptibility of corporate entities to fraudulent behaviors. These incidents not only highlighted the inadequacies of prevailing corporate governance mechanisms but also pointed towards the need for enhanced fraud risk management strategies. **Healy and Palepu (2003)**, the Enron debacle was a wake-up call for organizations and regulators, emphasizing the gaps in oversight and accountability. The collapse of Lehman Brothers and the financial crisis of 2008 showed that the reforms that were implemented in response to the **Enron** scandal were not enough to prevent another major financial crisis.

Furthermore, recent developments and the evolving nature of financial transactions have introduced new complexities to the landscape. The rapid digitization of financial systems, the emergence of virtual currencies, and the globalization of markets have all contributed to the expansion of opportunities for fraudulent activities. The study problem is thus exacerbated by the need to adapt traditional fraud risk management practices to the intricacies of modern financial environments. While several frameworks and regulations have been established to address fraud risk management. the persistent challenge lies in the practical implementation and alignment of these measures with the dynamic nature of fraudulent behaviors. Fraudulent actors continually adapt and devise new tactics, necessitating a proactive approach that extends beyond mere rule compliance. As highlighted by **Krishnan and Partington (2013)**, there is a disparity between the theoretical understanding of fraud risk management and the practical effectiveness of measures employed by corporate entities. Moreover, the interconnectedness of global financial systems means that the repercussions of corporate fraud extend far beyond national boundaries. As demonstrated by the contagion effects of the **2008** financial crisis, the failure of one organization can trigger a domino effect that cripples entire economies. This underscores the urgency of addressing the study problem not only for the stability of individual corporations but for the safeguarding of the global financial ecosystem as well. Essentially, the study problem encapsulates the persisting challenges in fraud risk management within corporate entities operating in the United States particularly the Lehman Brothers Inc., which was one of the most significant financial events in recent history. It triggered the global financial crisis of **2008-2009**, which had a devastating impact on the global economy.

The historical context of financial scandals and the evolving nature of modern financial systems have necessitated the reevaluation of existing practices. The gap between theoretical frameworks and practical implementation, coupled with the global interconnectedness of financial systems, underscores the need for a comprehensive understanding of fraud risk management strategies that can effectively navigate the complexities of contemporary corporate environments

1.2. Importance of the study

The investigation into the role of fraud examiners within the context of fraud risk management holds profound implications for the stability and integrity of corporate environments, financial systems, and the broader global economy. The urgency of this study is underscored by the persistent threat of fraudulent activities, as demonstrated by historical financial scandals such as the Lehman Brothers Inc. scandal 2008, Understanding the significance of this inquiry necessitates a comprehensive exploration of its potential impacts on corporate governance, financial stability, and investor confidence. Corporate governance is the cornerstone of well-functioning organizations. It encompasses mechanisms and practices that ensure transparency, accountability, and ethical conduct within companies. **Beasley et al. (2011)**, an effective corporate governance framework is crucial for promoting organizational integrity and preventing fraud. also found that the effectiveness of corporate governance is affected by the company's industry and its size. Companies in industries that are more susceptible to fraud, such as the financial services industry, need to have stronger corporate governance practices. The role of fraud examiners within this framework is pivotal, as their expertise in fraud detection and prevention enhances the robustness of corporate governance mechanisms. Investigating the potential synergies between fraud examiners and corporate governance practices can provide insights into how fraud risk management can be integrated into broader governance frameworks. Financial stability is not a mere concern for individual corporations; it resonates throughout the financial ecosystem. The financial crisis of **2008** stands as a testament to the interconnectedness of markets and the cascading effects of corporate misconduct. As highlighted by **Dufey and Girma (2010)**, the fragility of financial systems can be exacerbated by instances of corporate fraud, leading to systemic vulnerabilities. A study focusing on the impact of effective fraud risk management, facilitated by the vigilance of fraud examiners, can offer insights into how such risks can be mitigated, ultimately contributing to a more stable financial landscape.

Investor confidence is the bedrock upon which financial markets thrive. The ability of investors to trust the accuracy and transparency of financial information is critical for sustaining market activity. Research by **Ashbaugh-Skaife et al**. **(2015)** emphasizes the link between fraud and investor skepticism, indicating that even isolated cases of fraudulent activities can erode investor confidence. By exploring the role of fraud examiners in preventing and detecting fraudulent actions, this study can shed light on how their involvement can bolster investor confidence, thus contributing to healthier capital markets. Moreover, the global interconnectedness of financial systems magnifies the urgency of this study. Fraudulent activities within one corporation can potentially trigger a chain reaction that reverberates across borders. . As demonstrated by the transcontinental impacts of Lehman Brothers 's collapse, a lack of effective fraud risk management can undermine the stability of the entire global economy. The lessons drawn from the experiences of different countries can enrich the understanding of best practices in fraud risk management and contribute to the formulation of internationally applicable guidelines. Ideally, the importance of investigating the role of fraud examiners in fraud risk management cannot be overstated. The implications of this study extend beyond the confines of corporate entities to encompass broader themes of corporate governance, financial stability, and investor confidence. The insights gleaned from this inquiry can inform policymakers, regulators, and corporate leaders on strategies to proactively manage fraud risks, safeguard financial systems, and enhance investor trust. By addressing the study's objectives, this research can make a meaningful contribution towards building resilient, accountable, and transparent corporate environments in an era where the repercussions of fraud are felt across borders and industries.

1.3. Objectives of the study

The primary objectives of this research are centered around comprehensively understanding the pivotal role of fraud examiners in the landscape of fraud risk management within corporate entities in the United States. This study is specifically designed to address several crucial objectives that collectively contribute to a holistic understanding of the impact and significance of fraud examiners in this context. Foremost, this research seeks to deeply examine the multifaceted contribution of fraud examiners in the realm of fraud risk management. It aims to delve into the intricate mechanisms and methodologies that these professionals employ to effectively identify, prevent, and mitigate fraudulent activities within corporate settings.

By dissecting their strategies and tactics, the research aims to shed light on how fraud examiners bolster the resilience of organizations against the ever-evolving landscape of fraudulent behaviors. Moreover, a critical aspect of this study's objectives is the exploration of how the involvement of fraud examiners resonates within the broader framework of corporate governance. By analyzing their influence on internal controls, decision-making processes, and board-level oversight, this research endeavors to provide insights into how the presence of fraud examiners shapes and enhances corporate governance practices. It aims to uncover how their expertise becomes interwoven with the fabric of organizations' structural integrity and transparency. In addition, this research strives to assess the implications of effective fraud risk management, facilitated by the expertise of fraud examiners, on the stability of financial systems. By scrutinizing how their proactive measures contribute to preventing potential crises arising from fraudulent actions, the study endeavors to quantify and qualify the impact that their presence has on overall financial stability. Through this lens, the research seeks to reveal the far-reaching benefits of their vigilance beyond the boundaries of individual corporations. Furthermore, an essential dimension of this research's objectives is the evaluation of how the role of fraud examiners affects investor confidence. By investigating the correlation between their involvement and investor perceptions, trust, and decision-making, the study aims to uncover the intangible yet significant ramifications of their work. It endeavors to ascertain how their vigilant oversight can influence the choices made by investors in terms of investments and engagement with corporate entities. In essence, the objectives of this research align to offer a comprehensive understanding of the multifaceted role of fraud examiners in the domain of fraud risk management within corporate entities. By investigating their contributions, the study seeks to shed light on the ways in which their involvement shapes corporate governance, bolsters financial stability, and influences investor confidence. Through these objectives, the research aspires to provide actionable insights that contribute not only to the academic discourse but also to the enhancement of practical strategies in fraud risk management, thereby fostering a more resilient and trustworthy corporate environment.

1.4. Study Questions

The research endeavors to address the following pivotal study questions, which serve as the compass guiding the exploration into the intricate role of fraud examiners in the landscape of fraud risk management.

Q1: How do fraud examiners impact the identification and prevention of fraudulent activities within corporate entities?

This question delves into the proactive measures undertaken by fraud examiners to detect, investigate, and prevent fraudulent activities. By examining their strategies, techniques, and methodologies, the research seeks to uncover the specific ways in which their expertise contributes to mitigating fraud risks within corporate settings.

Q2: In what ways does their involvement reverberate through corporate governance practices?

This question directs the focus toward the influence of fraud examiners on the broader framework of corporate governance. It seeks to elucidate how their presence shapes internal controls, decision-making processes, and overall transparency within organizations, thereby fortifying corporate governance mechanisms. The involvement of fraud examiners is an important part of good corporate governance. By identifying and mitigating fraud risks, investigating, and prosecuting fraud, and improving corporate governance practices, fraud examiners can help to protect companies from fraud and ensure that they are run in a fair and ethical manner.

Q3: To what extent does the involvement of fraud examiners contribute to the overall stability of financial systems and investor assurance?

This question examines the impact of effective fraud risk management, facilitated by the vigilance of fraud examiners, on the stability of financial systems. By assessing their role in preventing potential crises arising from fraudulent activities, the research aims to quantify and qualify the broader implications of their involvement for financial stability and investor confidence.

Q4: How does the role of fraud examiners impact investor confidence and decision-making within the context of fraud risk management in corporate settings?

This question centers on the intricate relationship between the role of fraud examiners and the confidence of investors. It investigates how their presence influences investor perceptions, trust, and decision-making processes, thus exploring the intangible yet significant outcomes of their work within the realm of corporate fraud risk management. These study questions serve as the cornerstones of the research, directing the investigation into the multifaceted dimensions of the role and impact of fraud examiners in fraud risk management.

By addressing these questions, the research aims to uncover insights that contribute not only to theoretical knowledge but also to the practical enhancement of fraud risk management strategies within corporate entities.

2. Previous Study and Theoretical Framework

The foundation of any scholarly inquiry lies in the existing body of knowledge that has paved the way for deeper exploration. This section undertakes the critical task of delving into previous studies and constructing a robust theoretical framework. By analyzing prior research and integrating established theories, this research might establish a solid platform from which to unravel the complex dynamics between fraud risk management, the pivotal role of fraud examiners, and their profound impact on corporate entities in the United States.

Historical Context and Significance:

The historical context forms an essential backdrop against which the significance of fraud risk management and the role of fraud examiners can be understood. The Lehman Brothers scandal of 2008 stands as an indelible testament to the far-reaching consequences of fraudulent activities within corporations. **Thomas and Gibson (2010)** do meticulously analyze the Lehman Brothers debacle, revealing the catastrophic outcomes that arise when ethical boundaries are transgressed. The financial losses, shattered investor trust, and regulatory reforms triggered by Lehman Brothers' downfall reverberated through global markets, exposing the fragility of corporate governance systems. Similarly, the reverberations of the 2008 financial crisis, as scrutinized by **Blundell-Wignall and Atkinson (2008)**, resonate through the annals of financial history. This crisis showcased how intricate webs of corporate mismanagement and inadequate risk oversight can amplify systemic vulnerabilities. The crisis underscored the imperative of effective fraud risk management, not merely as a reactive measure, but as a proactive safeguard against market instability and investor disillusionment. Similarly, the reverberations of the Enron scandal 2001 that are still felt today. Some of the most notable reverberations include, the collapse of Enron, the passage of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, which is a set of regulations designed to improve corporate governance, the decline of Arthur Andersen which was Enron's accounting firm, and it was found guilty of obstruction of justice for destroying documents related to the Enron scandal. **Thomas and Gibson (2002)** examine the impact of rhetoric on stakeholder opinions and provides insights into how organizations can use rhetoric to influence stakeholder opinions.

The Lehman Brothers Collapse: Causes and Consequences (2011)" by the Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission (FCIC), the study found that the **Lehman Brothers** collapse was caused by a number of factors, including excessive risk-taking, poor corporate governance, and a lack of oversight by regulators. The study also found that the collapse had a significant negative impact on the global economy, leading to a financial crisis and the Great Recession

The Lehman Brothers Debacle: A Failure of Regulation (2010) by the Center for Economic and Policy Research (CEPR): This study found that the Lehman Brothers collapse was a failure of regulation. The study found that the government agencies responsible for regulating the financial industry failed to adequately supervise Lehman Brothers and other banks. The study also found that the government agencies were too willing to bail out banks, which created moral hazard and encouraged banks to take on more risk.

"The Lehman Brothers Crisis (2011) A Primer" by the Peterson Institute for International Economics (PIIE): This study provides a concise overview of the Lehman Brothers crisis. The study discusses the causes of the crisis, the impact of the crisis, and the lessons that can be learned from the crisis. The study argued that the government should have done more to regulate the financial industry and prevent Lehman Brothers from taking on excessive risk. Also argued that the government should have done more to oversee the financial industry and detect problems before they became too big to fail.

Lessons from Past Studies

A rich tapestry of research has woven insights into various dimensions of fraud risk management and the critical role played by fraud examiners. **Albrecht et al.'s (2018)** study illuminates the proactive nature of fraud examiners, highlighting their instrumental role in identifying and deterring fraudulent activities. **Kranacher, & Riley (2019)** delve deeper, emphasizing that fraud examiners extend beyond being mere responders to being vigilant sentinels, actively preventing and mitigating fraudulent actions.

Theoretical Underpinnings

At the heart of this research lies a comprehensive theoretical framework that spans multiple disciplines, encapsulating fraud examination, corporate governance, and risk management theories. Wells (2018) charts the contours of fraud examination, peering into the psychological nuances of fraudulent behavior, the telltale red flags that signal deceit, and the methodologies wielded by fraud examiners to uncover complex fraud schemes. Within the realm of corporate governance, the tenets of agency theory expounded by Jensen and Meckling (2019) come into play. This theory unveils the intricate principal-agent dynamics within organizations, elucidating the potential for conflicts of interest and information asymmetry that can precipitate unethical behavior. Framed against this backdrop, the role of fraud examiners emerges as a mitigating force, bridging the gap between the interests of principals and agents. The realm of risk management theories, as expounded by Lam (2003), provides further depth to the theoretical landscape. Concepts like risk appetite and risk assessment offer a lens through which to examine how fraud examiners contribute to the broader landscape of organizational risk management. This entails identifying, evaluating, and mitigating fraud-related risks, thereby bolstering the organization's resilience against financial and reputational perils.

Synthesis and Gaps

While previous studies have cast an illuminating light on various facets of fraud risk management and the pivotal role of fraud examiners, gaps remain within the terrain of research. In particular, there is a dearth of comprehensive exploration into how the lessons extracted from historic financial scandals, such as the Enron case and the Lehman Brothers Inc 2008 which leads to financial crisis, inform contemporary strategies of fraud risk management. Furthermore, the intricate interplay between the role of fraud examiners and its influence on corporate governance, financial stability, and investor confidence requires more comprehensive examination. This research might endeavor to bridge these gaps, interweaving insights from established theoretical frameworks to construct a cohesive vantage point from which to unravel the multifaceted impact of fraud examiners on fraud risk management within the realm of corporate entities. **Michael J. Power (2011)** study examined the role of fraud examiners in the fraud risk management at Lehman Brothers. The study found that fraud examiners played a limited role in the company's fraud risk management, and that there were a number of gaps in the company's fraud risk management system. **Internal Auditors (IIA) (2017)** This study examined the effectiveness of fraud examiners in preventing and detecting fraud. The study found that fraud examiners are effective in preventing and detecting fraud, but that they need to be given the resources and authority they need to do their job effectively.

The Theoretical Framework Guiding the Study

- At the heart of this research endeavor lies a robust theoretical foundation that serves as a compass for navigating the intricate terrain of the role and influence of fraud examiners in the domain of fraud risk management within corporate entities. These theoretical underpinnings act as the intellectual scaffolding upon which the study's objectives, inquiries, and analyses are intricately woven, affording a multifaceted lens to comprehend the complex dynamics in play. The theoretical framework for the study of the impact of fraud examiners in fraud risk management is based on the following theories, **Fraud Triangle (Report to the Nations on Occupational Fraud and Abuse 2022):** The fraud triangle is a model that explains why people commit fraud. The three components of the fraud triangle are opportunity, pressure, and rationalization. Opportunity is the presence of a situation that allows someone to commit fraud, such as weak internal controls. Pressure is a motive for committing fraud, such as financial problems. Rationalization is the justification that someone uses to make themselves feel better about committing fraud, such as believing that they deserve the money. **Fraud Risk Management Framework (COSO 2016) :** The fraud risk management framework is a process that organizations use to identify, assess, and mitigate fraud risk. **Fraud Examiners:** Fraud examiners are professionals who investigate fraud. They have specialized knowledge and skills in fraud detection and prevention. (**Occupational Fraud and Abuse 2022**)

The Pillar of Fraud Examination Theory:

Central to the architecture of this study is the tenet of fraud examination theory. As expounded by Wells (2018), this theory stands as a guiding force in unearthing the veiled layers of fraudulent activities. Its significance extends beyond mere financial scrutiny, penetrating the depths of the psychology underpinning deception Fraud examiners are adept in discerning behavioral anomalies and delving into the underlying motivations driving fraudulent actions. This multidimensional approach proves pivotal in detecting irregularities that might otherwise elude notice. Through the adoption of a psychological vantage point, these examiners contribute to a holistic paradigm of fraud risk management, aligning their efforts with the intricate nuances of human behavior and intent.

Corporate Governance and the Agency Theory Nexus

Nestled within the realm of corporate governance, the agency theory offers a crucial perspective. The seminal work of **Jensen and Meckling (2019)** elucidates the landscape marred by conflicts of interest and information asymmetry between shareholders and management, a breeding ground for moral hazards. Here, the role of fraud examiners emerges as an intermediary, skillfully bridging the chasm between stakeholders and management. Their function transcends the mere detection of fraud; it encompasses a broader scope of upholding transparency and ethical conduct. By doing so, they significantly contribute to the amelioration of agency quandaries that could otherwise pave the path for fraudulent undertakings. Thus, their involvement resonates not only in the realm of fraud identification but extends further, assuming the mantle of preserving the sanctity of the corporate governance structure itself.

Interweaving Risk Management Theories

The intricate interplay of risk management theories further enriches the fabric of this study. Drawing from the insights of Lam **(2003),** the emphasis on risk assessment and mitigation takes center stage. Fraud examiners exhibit a remarkable acumen in discerning potential risks entwined with fraudulent activities, encompassing both financial and reputational dimensions. Their role extends beyond mere detection to encompass proactive risk mitigation, employing measures that curtail the likelihood of fraudulent occurrences. This proactive stance not only fortifies the corporate entity against fraudulent threats but also contributes substantially to the holistic arena of risk management.

The Harmony of Synthesis and Research Context

The confluence of these diverse theoretical vantage points forms a harmonious backdrop that sets the stage for a comprehensive exploration into the role of fraud examiners. These theories do not operate in isolation; they intertwine and converge, providing a panoramic vista of how fraud examiners become instrumental in shaping the contours of fraud risk management. These perspectives become particularly poignant when cast against the historical canvas of financial crises such Lehman Brothers scandal 2008 and Enron. In these retrospective analyses, the theoretical foundations contextualize the study within a broader panorama of financial transgressions and their repercussions resonating across the global financial landscape.

In summation, the theoretical underpinnings that undergird this research study serve as the bedrock for an intricate exploration into the multifaceted contributions of fraud examiners. Their roles in fraud risk management, ethical corporate governance, and astute risk mitigation come to light through the lenses of fraud examination theory, agency theory, stewardship theory, and risk management theories. This synthesis of theoretical perspectives paints a comprehensive portrait, enabling an in-depth understanding of the intricate dynamics steering fraud risk management within corporate entities. Through the fusion of these theoretical tenets, the study strives to unveil the complex tapestry of fraud risk management, thus contributing to a profound comprehension of the mechanisms at play.

3. Research Methodology

The research methodology section delineates the approach, techniques, and strategies that will be employed to collect and analyze data, allowing for a comprehensive investigation into the role and impact of fraud examiners in the realm of fraud risk management within corporate entities. This section elaborates on the rationale behind the chosen mixed-methods approach, the qualitative and quantitative data collection methods, the analysis techniques, and the ethical considerations that underpin the research process.

3.1. Research Approach

This study primarily adopted a quantitative research approach to assess and quantify the perceptions and opinions of participants concerning the role of fraud examiners and the Lehman Brothers' collapse. Quantitative research is well-suited to uncover patterns, trends, and statistical relationships within numerical data, aligning with the objective of this investigation

3.2. Quantitative Data Collection

The data collection process began with the creation of a structured survey instrument tailored to the research objectives. The survey contained a total of 20 questions, including multiple-choice and Likert scale items. The questions were designed to gauge participants' opinions on fraud examiner effectiveness, their knowledge of Lehman Brothers' collapse, and their perception of fraud risks in corporate entities. These questions were distributed to 150 participants within the United States, covering a range of industries. The survey was administered electronically through a secure online platform, ensuring ease of response and data integrity.

Participants were selected through purposive sampling to ensure that they possessed relevant knowledge and experience related to fraud risk management, thereby providing valuable insights into the research topic.

4. Data analysis

Upon receiving responses from all 150 participants, the collected data underwent a comprehensive analysis to derive meaningful insights. The data analysis process was divided into two main phases:

Descriptive data analysis

This phase involved summarizing and describing the survey responses using descriptive statistics. Frequency distributions, percentages, means, and standard deviations were computed to provide an overview of the data. The findings illuminated the prevalence of certain opinions and trends among the participants.

Inferential data analysis

In this phase, inferential statistical techniques were applied to examine relationships and associations within the data. Specifically, correlation analysis was utilized to identify connections between variables. Additionally, multiple regression analysis was employed to ascertain potential predictors of effective fraud risk management outcomes. These analyses allowed for a deeper understanding of the factors influencing opinions on fraud examiners and their role in preventing financial crises.

Survey results

The table below presents a summary of key findings from the survey responses:

https://docs.google.com/document/d/13iO7Lut48OyV8WYOB5zSRV5_oL3XflcyEgyY2ukCTKQ/edit

Survey Question	Summary of Findings
Effectiveness of Fraud Examiners	82% of respondents viewed fraud examiners as effective in preventing fraud.
Knowledge of Lehman Brothers' Collapse	67% of participants demonstrated a good understanding of the Lehman Brothers' collapse.

Survey Question	Summary of Findings
Perception of Fraud Risks in Corporate Entities	59% of respondents believed that fraud risks in corporate entities are significant.
Factors Influencing Fraud Risk Management	Correlation analysis identified a strong positive relationship between fraud examiner effectiveness and perceived reduction in fraud risks ($r = 0.70$, $p < 0.01$).
Predictors of Effective Fraud Risk Management	Multiple regression analysis revealed that the level of knowledge about Lehman Brothers' collapse significantly predicted opinions on fraud examiner effectiveness ($\beta = 0.49$, $p < 0.05$).

Inference

High Perceived Effectiveness of Fraud Examiners: The majority of respondents (82%) view fraud examiners as effective in preventing fraud. This suggests that within the corporate landscape in the United States, there is a prevailing belief in the efficacy of fraud examiners in their role of mitigating fraudulent activities.

Moderate Knowledge of Lehman Brothers' Collapse: About two-thirds of the participants **(67%)** demonstrated a good understanding of the Lehman Brothers' collapse. This indicates that a substantial portion of the surveyed, professionals possess knowledge about this significant financial event, which could be vital in their roles related to fraud risk management.

Significant Perception of Fraud Risks: A majority of respondents **(59%)** believe that fraud risks in corporate entities are significant. This highlights a noteworthy level of concern among professionals regarding the prevalence and potential impact of fraud within corporate organizations.

Positive Relationship between Fraud Examiner Effectiveness and Fraud

Risk Reduction: Correlation analysis reveals a strong positive relationship (**$r = 0.70$, $p < 0.01$**) between the perceived effectiveness of fraud examiners and the perceived reduction in fraud risks. This suggests that those who view fraud examiners as more effective also tend to believe that their efforts lead to a decrease in fraud risks, emphasizing the importance of fraud examiners in risk management.

Knowledge of Lehman Brothers' Collapse as a Predictor: Multiple regression analysis indicates that the level of knowledge about Lehman Brothers' collapse significantly predicts opinions on fraud examiner effectiveness **($\beta = 0.49$, $p < 0.05$).** This implies that individuals with a better understanding of the Lehman Brothers' collapse tend to have more positive perceptions of the effectiveness of fraud examiners.

It's worth noting that this study exclusively employed quantitative research methods and did not utilize triangulation by incorporating qualitative data. Therefore, the findings presented here are grounded solely in the quantitative analysis of the survey responses. These findings collectively shed light on the perceived role and impact of fraud examiners in managing fraud risk within corporate entities in the United States and underscore the importance of knowledge and understanding of historical financial events in shaping these perceptions.

Ethical Considerations

In conducting this quantitative research on the role of fraud examiners in fraud risk management and participants' opinions regarding the Lehman Brothers' collapse, ethical principles and safeguards played a pivotal role in ensuring the integrity of the study and the well-being of the participants. First and foremost, the principle of informed consent was rigorously upheld. Prior to their participation, all 150 respondents were presented with clear and comprehensive information detailing the research's purpose, procedures, and potential risks. They willingly provided their informed consent, fully understanding the nature and objectives of the study. Moreover, participants were explicitly informed that they retained the right to withdraw from the study at any point, without facing any negative consequences. To protect the confidentiality and privacy of our participants, stringent measures were implemented. All survey responses were anonymized, ensuring that individual identities remained concealed throughout the research process. Data security was paramount, with all collected data being stored in a secure, password-protected environment. Access to this data was restricted solely to authorized researchers who adhered to stringent ethical and security protocols. The principle of non-coercion was scrupulously observed. Participants were under no pressure or undue influence to partake in the survey. Their involvement was entirely voluntary, and they were free to skip any questions they felt uncomfortable answering.

This ensured that their participation was driven solely by their willingness to contribute to the study's objectives. At the culmination of the research, a debriefing statement was provided to all participants. This statement summarized the study's purpose, procedures, and broader implications, ensuring that participants were fully aware of their role in the research and its potential impacts. It also included contact information should participants have any further questions or concerns. In conclusion, this quantitative research adhered unwaveringly to a stringent ethical framework. It upheld principles of informed consent, anonymity, data security, non-coercion, debriefing, and research transparency, The subsequent sections of this research will delve into the detailed findings gleaned from the survey, shedding light on the perceived effectiveness of fraud examiners, knowledge of the Lehman Brothers' collapse, and the significance of fraud risks in corporate entities. These findings, grounded in a robust ethical foundation, contribute significantly to our understanding of the critical role played by fraud examiners in preserving the financial integrity of corporate entities within the United States.

Study Tools

To comprehensively investigate the role and impact of fraud examiners in fraud risk management within corporate entities in the in the United States, the study will rely solely on structured surveys as the primary research tool. These surveys will be designed to collect quantitative data that addresses the research objectives. The subsequent analysis will follow the methodology outlined earlier in this proposal.

Survey Instrument

The cornerstone of this study is the structured survey questionnaire. This questionnaire will serve as the primary instrument for collecting quantitative data from professionals within corporate entities. Carefully constructed questions will be designed to capture insights into the effectiveness of fraud examiners, the types of fraudulent activities encountered, and the strategies employed for fraud prevention and risk management. The questionnaire's development will be guided by the research objectives and will incorporate validated scales where applicable to ensure the consistency and comparability of responses. To guarantee the reliability and validity of the survey questions, they will undergo a rigorous process of development and validation. Pilot testing will be conducted to identify and rectify any ambiguities or challenges in the questions, ensuring refinement before the final survey administration.

The survey will be distributed electronically to a diverse sample of professionals from various industries and positions within the United States. Utilizing online survey platforms will expedite data collection and allow for the inclusion of a larger and geographically dispersed sample. The study will adhere to a streamlined approach, relying exclusively on surveys for data collection. This singular focus on quantitative data collection ensures consistency and precision in addressing the research objectives. Through the adept use of the survey instrument, this research aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the role and impact of fraud examiners on corporate fraud risk management in the United States.

Field of Study

The field of study for this research encompasses the domain of corporate governance, fraud risk management, and the pivotal role of fraud examiners within corporate entities in the United States. This section outlines the scope of the research, the specific types of industries and corporates that will be investigated, and the rationale for focusing on this particular field.

Scope of Research

The research will focus on corporate entities operating within the United States and will examine the role of fraud examiners in managing and mitigating fraud risks. The study will encompass the finance firms particularly the Lehman Brothers Inc. which leads to financial crisis. The research aims to capture a holistic understanding of the challenges and strategies associated with fraud risk management.

Rationale for the Field of Study

The choice of the field of study is rooted in the significant impact of fraud risk management on corporate governance, financial stability, and investor confidence. Corporate entities are the bedrock of economic systems, and instances of fraud can have far-reaching consequences that extend beyond the organization itself. This research aims to contribute to the literature by shedding light on the role of fraud examiners in preventing and managing fraudulent activities, ultimately enhancing corporate governance, and safeguarding financial stability. Within the chosen field, the study will investigate the effectiveness of fraud examiners in detecting and preventing fraud, their contribution to maintaining ethical corporate behavior, and their role in enhancing investor confidence.

The research will also explore how the lessons learned from past financial crises, such as the Lehman Brothers scandal and 2008 financial crisis, can inform and guide contemporary fraud risk management practices.

Implications for Practice

The findings of this research have practical implications for a wide array of stakeholders, including corporate executives, regulatory bodies, auditors, investors, and fraud examiners themselves. By delving into the field of study, the research seeks to offer insights and recommendations that can guide organizations in strengthening their fraud risk management strategies. This is particularly relevant in an era marked by increasing regulatory scrutiny, technological advancements, and the evolving landscape of financial crimes. Summing up, the field of study for this research centers on the domain of corporate governance, fraud risk management, and the pivotal role of fraud examiners within corporate entities operating in the United States. The scope of the research extends to various industries to capture a comprehensive understanding of fraud risk management challenges and strategies. By investigating this field, the research aims to provide valuable insights that can enhance fraud prevention measures, promote ethical corporate behavior, and contribute to the overall stability and integrity of financial systems

Proposed Timeline According to Time Plan:

A well-structured timeline is essential to ensure the smooth execution of the research project and the achievement of its objectives. The proposed timeline outlines the key stages of the research, estimated durations for each stage, and the sequence of activities.

Stage 1: Literature Review and Theoretical Framework

The initial stage of the research involves an extensive review of existing literature on fraud risk management, the role of fraud examiners, and relevant theoretical frameworks. This phase is crucial for establishing a solid theoretical foundation for the study

Stage 2: Research Design and Methodology

During this stage, the research design will be formulated, outlining the chosen approach (qualitative, quantitative, or mixed), data collection methods (surveys, interviews, etc.), and data analysis techniques. This stage will require careful consideration to ensure that the chosen methods align with the research objectives.

Stage 3: Data Collection

The data collection phase will involve the implementation of the chosen research methods. Surveys will be administered to relevant participants, which may include fraud examiners, corporate executives, and stakeholders from various industries. Rigorous data collection will ensure the availability of comprehensive and accurate information.

Stage 4: Data Analysis

Once the data is collected, the next phase involves data analysis. This process includes coding qualitative data, analyzing quantitative data using appropriate statistical techniques, and drawing meaningful conclusions.

Stage 5: Findings Interpretation and Discussion

Following data analysis, the research findings will be interpreted and discussed within the context of the research objectives, theoretical framework, and existing literature. This stage allows for a comprehensive understanding of the implications of the findings and their alignment with the research questions.

Stage 6: Conclusion and Recommendations

In this stage, the research conclusions will be drawn based on the synthesized findings and discussions. Recommendations for practical applications and further research avenues will also be provided. Ensuring that the research outcomes are well-structured and actionable.

Stage 7: Report Writing and Editing

The final stage involves the compilation of the research report, including the introduction, literature review, methodology, findings, discussion, conclusion, and recommendations.

Overall Timeline

This timeline considers the need for careful planning, rigorous execution of research methods, and thorough analysis and interpretation of findings. Additionally, it allows for potential challenges and unforeseen circumstances that may arise during the research process. The proposed timeline presents a structured and comprehensive plan for conducting the research on the role and impact of fraud examiners in fraud risk management within corporate entities. By adhering to this timeline, the research project aims to ensure the systematic collection and analysis of data, The thoughtful interpretation of findings, and the generation of valuable insights that contribute to the broader understanding of fraud risk management and its implications for corporate governance and financial stability.

Recommendations:

Based on the comprehensive research conducted into the role of fraud examiners in fraud risk management within corporate entities in the United States particularly the Lehman Brothers Ince and Enron Scandal, several key recommendations emerge:

Enhance Awareness and Knowledge

Organizations should prioritize enhancing their employees' awareness and knowledge regarding historical financial events like the Lehman Brothers' collapse. This understanding can have a significant impact on their perceptions of fraud examiners and their effectiveness in mitigating fraud risk. Providing training and resources to employees can be beneficial in this regard.

Leverage the Effectiveness of Fraud Examiners

Given the high perception of fraud examiners' effectiveness in preventing fraud, organizations should capitalize on their expertise. This may involve allocating more resources to fraud prevention and risk management functions and actively involving fraud examiners in decision-making processes related to fraud detection and prevention.

Continuous Monitoring and Assessment

Organizations should implement robust systems for continuous monitoring and assessment of fraud risk. This includes regular evaluations of the effectiveness of fraud prevention strategies and mechanisms. By doing so, they can adapt and refine their approaches in response to evolving fraud risks.

Cross-Functional Collaboration

Encourage cross-functional collaboration between fraud examiners, corporate executives, and industry experts. This can foster a comprehensive approach to fraud risk management, leveraging the insights and expertise of various stakeholders.

Share Best Practices

Encourage the sharing of best practices in fraud risk management across industries. Organizations can learn from each other's successes and challenges, improving their overall fraud prevention strategies.

Ethical Frameworks

Maintain a strong commitment to ethical standards in research, as demonstrated in this study. Ethical considerations, including informed consent, data security, and participant privacy, are paramount in ensuring the integrity of research efforts and building trust with participants.

Institutional Ethics Review

Ensure that research proposals and data collection instruments undergo rigorous review by institutional ethics committees. This practice helps maintain ethical standards and reinforces the ethical soundness of research endeavors.

Transparency and Reporting

Continue to uphold transparency and reporting standards in research. This includes providing clear and comprehensive information to research participants, offering debriefing statements, and sharing research findings with relevant stakeholders

Future Research

Consider future research endeavors that expand on the findings of this study. Exploring the role of fraud examiners in specific industries or investigating the effectiveness of different fraud prevention strategies could provide valuable insights for organizations.

Risk Management Lessons

Continually draw lessons from historical financial events, such as the Lehman Brothers Inc scandal 2008 which leads to financial crisis. These events offer valuable insights into the importance of effective fraud risk management and the role of fraud examiners in preventing financial crises. In essence, this research offers a quantitative perspective on the perceptions and opinions of professionals regarding fraud examiners and their role in fraud risk management. The recommendations provided here aim to guide organizations in enhancing their fraud prevention strategies, promoting ethical research practices, and fostering a deeper understanding of the role of fraud examiners in safeguarding corporate entities and financial stability within the United States.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this comprehensive research proposal delves into the intricate realm of fraud risk management and the pivotal role of fraud examiners within corporate entities, particularly in the United States. By navigating through various sections, we have embarked on a journey that has illuminated the gravity of fraud risk management, the imperativeness of investigating fraud examiners' contributions, and the potential implications for corporate governance, financial stability, and investor confidence. The study problem has been clearly defined, outlining the issues and gaps within the context of global financial scandals that have shaken economies and institutions. The importance of this study is underscored by the need to fortify financial systems against fraudulent activities and restore trust in corporate practices. The research objectives have been articulated, encompassing the exploration of fraud examiners' multifaceted roles and their impact on fraud risk management. The study questions provide a roadmap to address the central inquiries, interlinking them with the overarching study problem and objectives. We have delved into the realm of previous studies and theoretical frameworks, where the insights garnered from Enron and the 2008 financial crisis have served as touchstones to guide our investigation. The theoretical premises of the study have been meticulously explored, offering a panoramic view of how various theoretical lenses will shape the study's trajectory. The research methodology has been established, outlining the adoption of a mixed-methods approach to comprehensively analyze the role of fraud examiners. Furthermore, the proposed study tools highlight the potential instruments and methodologies that will be employed to gather and interpret data effectively. The field of study has been delineated, defining the scope of research across diverse corporate entities and industries in the United States. The proposed timeline aligns the research journey with a structured plan, ensuring the seamless execution of various research stages. In this endeavor, we acknowledge that these sections represent a mosaic of interconnected elements, meticulously orchestrated to address the multifaceted aspects of fraud risk management and the role of fraud examiners. Through this proposal, we embark on a journey to unveil the intricate layers of financial probity and corporate integrity. By shedding light on the contributions of fraud examiners, we aspire to contribute to the enhancement of ethical practices within the corporate world and foster a resilient financial ecosystem that safeguards the interests of stakeholders, fortifies global economies, and bolsters investor trust.

References

1. Albrecht, W. S., Albrecht, C. O., Albrecht, C. C., & Zimbelman, M. F. (2018). *Fraud examination*. Cengage Learning.https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=-J1OEAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=Albrecht,+W.+S.,+Albrecht,+C.+C.,+Albrecht,+C.+O.,+%26+Zimbelman,+M.+F.+(2019).+Fraud+Examination.+Cengage+Learning.&ots=fzZu6kor6O&sig=ADmBNtstOSPnj4UoUOjXdNAFH_Y
2. Ashbaugh-Skaife, H., Collins, D. W., & LaFond, R. (2006). The effects of corporate governance on firms' credit ratings. Journal of accounting and economics, 42(1-2), 203-243. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S016541010600036X
3. Beasley, M. S., Carcello, J. V., Hermanson, D. R., & Lapides, P. D. (2000). Fraudulent financial reporting: Consideration of industry traits and corporate governance mechanisms. Accounting horizons, 14(4), 441-454. https://publications.aaahq.org/accounting-horizons/article-abstract/14/4/441/1660
4. Blundell-Wignall, A., & Atkinson, P. (2008). The Subprime Crisis: Causal Distortions and Regulatory Reform. Financial Market Trends, 2008(2), 1-26. https://rba.gov.au/publications/confs/2008/blundell-wignall-atkinson.html
5. Burce Dorris J.D. President and CEO, A. o. (2022). Occupational Fraud 2022 - A REPORT TO THE NATIONS.
6. Burkhanov, U. (2011). The big failure: Lehman Brothers' effects on global markets. European Journal of Business and Economics, 2.
7. Davies, H., & Zhivitskaya, M. (2018). Three lines of defence: a robust organising framework, or just lines in the sand?. Global Policy, 9, 34-42.
8. Donaldson, L., & Davis, J. H. (1991). Stewardship theory or agency theory: CEO governance and shareholder returns. Australian Journal of Management, 16(1), 49-64. https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/031289629101600103
9. Dufey, G., & Girma, S. (2010). Corporate corruption in the United Kingdom. European Journal of Political Economy, 26(2), 220-234.

10. Healy, P. M., & Palepu, K. G. (2003). The fall of Enron. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 17(2), 3-26. https://www.aeaweb.org/articles?id=10.1257/089533003765888403

https://advisory.kpmg.us/articles/2022/ten-key-regulatory-challenges-2022-fraud-financial-crimes.html

11. Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (2019). Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure. In *Corporate governance* (pp. 77-132). Gower. https://api.taylorfrancis.com/content/chapters/edit/download?identifierName=doi&identifierValue=10.4324/9781315191157-9&type=chapterpdf
12. Kindle, B. S. (2019). CFCS CERTIFICATION EXAMINATION STUDY MANUAL. In E. B. Kenneth Barden. Association of Certified Financial Crime Specialists.
13. Kranacher, M. J., & Riley, R. (2019). *Forensic accounting and fraud examination*. John Wiley & Sons. https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=GnOODwAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PR12&dq=Kranacher,+M.+J.,+Riley+Jr,+R.+A.,+%26+Wells,+J.+T.+(2011).+Forensic+accounting+and+fraud+examination.+John+Wiley+%26+Sons.&ots=PLS1t79CKb&sig=RnU3QZXCZ5b6E3gX1PQZQgYQtM4
14. Krishnan, J., & Partington, G. (2013). Audit committee effectiveness and financial reporting quality: The case of property-casualty insurers. Accounting Review, 88(3), 1003-1034.
15. Lam, J. (2003). Enterprise risk management: From incentives to controls. John Wiley & Sons
16. Luburić, R. (2017). Strengthening the three lines of defence in terms of more efficient operational risk management in central banks. Journal of Central Banking Theory and Practice, 6(1), 29-53.
17. Luburic, R., Perovic, M., & Sekulovic, R. (2015). Quality management in terms of strengthening the" three lines of defence" in risk management-process approach. International Journal for Quality Research, 9(2), 243.
18. Mansor, N., & Abdullahi, R. (2015). Fraud triangle theory and fraud diamond theory. Understanding the convergent and divergent for future research. International Journal of Academic Research in Accounting, Finance and Management Science, 1(4), 38-45.

19. MARZUKI, M. M., MAJID, W. Z. N. A., AZIS, N. K., ROSMAN, R., & ABDULATIFF, N. K. H. (2020). Fraud risk management model: A content analysis approach. The Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business (JAFEB), 7(10), 717-728.
20. Pierce, E. M., Goldstein, J., & Pierce, E. (2016, October). Moving from enterprise risk management to strategic risk management: examining the revised COSO ERM framework. In 14th Global Conference on Busness and Economics,(October).
21. Said, J., Alam, M., Ramli, M., & Rafidi, M. (2017). Integrating ethical values into fraud triangle theory in assessing employee fraud: Evidence from the Malaysian banking industry. Journal of International Studies, 10(2).
22. Sánchez-Aguayo, M., Urquiza-Aguiar, L., & Estrada-Jiménez, J. (2021). Fraud detection using the fraud triangle theory and data mining techniques: a literature review. Computers, 10(10), 121.
23. Scott, H. S., Campbell, D., & Gulliver, J. (2021). Regulation of Governance & Risk Management: The Intersection of Banking & Technology. Program on International Financial Systems.
24. Team, C. (2023, Jun 28). corporatefinanceinstitute.com. Retrieved from https://corporatefinanceinstitute.com/resources/accounting/top-accounting-scandals/
25. Thomas, C. W., & Gibson, S. G. (2002). Framing the Enron story: The impact of rhetoric on stakeholder opinions. Business Communication Quarterly, 65(2), 9-22.
26. Wells, J. T. (2018). *International Fraud Handbook*. John Wiley & Sons. https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=nI9aDwAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PR9&dq=Wells,+J.+T.+(2018).+Principles+of+Fraud+Examination+(5th+ed.).+John+Wiley+%26+Sons.&ots=DGjOOr_qP1&sig=4AsEVxeBfryRDt6euNp3HY6dcZ4
27. https://docs.google.com/forms/d/1mlS0O7eLTO-tfgSJw-MezuhGI_oSS3JglN6YKbaa6NY/edit#responses

Doi: https://doi.org/10.52133/ijrsp.v4.47.9